G. R. S. 59] GPB—15,000—22-7-14

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1892-3
~~191~~ .
(Madras)

File No. 381

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

Re restoration of the Jodi Inam lands attached to certain temples in the Kottana gutta village, Chemaroyapatna Taluk.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES. LATER REFERENCES.

Memo

Inam Gupta No 12
d/ 18-6-90.

The Vernacular records shew that the Inam lands attached to the temples of Basavanna Devaru, Anjanaya Devaru and Lakshmi Devaru at Kottanpatta village in the Channarayapatna Taluq were resumed during the Inam settlement in the year Vikrama (1880-81), as the temples were in disrepair and the Puja was discontinued; that the lands were fully assessed afterwards, that, as the managers of the temples failed to pay the arrears of Kanda-

Kandayen amount- ing to Rs 15-3-1 the lands were put up to auction in 1882, that the sale was cancelled as Lakkegowda and other villagers paid the amount to Govt, that the temples were subsequently repaired by the villagers at a cost of Rs 300/ that the services in them are now performed regularly, that the Deputy Commr, Mr Poonia, who inspected the temples found them in good order and that the villagers now pray for the restoration of the Inam lands.

2. The deposition (dated

(dated 21-2-90) of --
Seetharamiah, the
Shanuboque of the --
village, shews that
formerly the Inam
land of the Basavan-
na temple was the
wet land bearing the
old No= 171, that of An
janaya was the old
Nos= 62 and 63 and that
of Lakshmu Devaru
the old No= 21, that as
per old Govt= accounts
and Khati the Inam
lands of these three --
temples were under --
one Khate only and
that in the Survey --
settlement also they --
have been treated and
entered as one Khate
only.

3 The extract of the

the Inam Register received from the Inam Deptt. shews that the old No. 62 and part of the old No. 171 were the Inam lands of the Basavanna Devaru temple as one Khate, that they were resumed on the ground that the temple was in disrepair and Pu. a was discontinued, and that the old Nos. 21, 63 and part of the old No. 171 were the Inam lands of Anjaneya Devaru and Lakshmi Devaru as one separate Khati. The columns 14, 19, and 20, of the same extract further shews that the old Nos. 21 and 63 and part of the old

old No. 171 were the
Inam-lands granted
to the temples of
Bhyredevaru and Lak-
shmi Devaru as per
Huzur Stala account
of 1846, that, as the
Inams were enjoyed
by the Devarus other
than those mentioned
in the accounts ~~was~~
~~recommended by~~ (that
the Inam Asstt Mr D.
Narasinga Rao recommended
that the Inams should be
resumed, that, thereupon,
the Amildar was called
upon to submit a re-
port as to whether it
was the same Lakshmi
Devaru and that as
the report called for
was not received, the
Inam Supdtt ordered that
the Inams should be

be resumed.

Accordingly the Inams (viz, old Nos 21, 63 and part of 171). were resumed on 12-8-80.

4. On 25th March/90 Mr Poornia, the Dy Commr of the Hassan Dist addressed a yadi No 1318, requesting the Inam Supdtt to inform him on what grounds the Inam lands in question were resumed during the Inam Settlement.

5. In reply the Inam Supdtt stated (in his yadi No 35 d/ 18th April/90) that the Inams were resumed in 1872 on the grounds that the temples were in disrepair and Puja etc was not regularly performed. On

On reference to the 8th column of the Inam extract it will be observed that remarks have been made to the following effect:—

"The Pagodas are in good order and Pooje performed once every day as per Amil's certificate"

6 It will be observed from the above (1) that the temple of Basavana Devaru had only the Inam land bearing old No 171 as per Shanubogue's statement that it had the old Nos 62 and part of 171 as per Inam Register, that the Inam of this temple was resumed in the Inam settlement on the — found that the tem

temple was in disre-
pair and Puja was
discontinued. (2) that
the old Nos 2163 and
part of 171 which are
now claimed as the
Inam lands of An
janeya and Lakshmi
Devaru were the Inam
lands of Bhyredevaru
and Lakshmi Devaru
temples as per Hu-
zur Stala accounts
of 1846 and that, as
the Amildar failed
to report during the
Inam settlement, that
they were the Inam
lands of Anjaneya
and Lakshmi Devaru
temples now in
question. the Inam
Supdtt ordered the
resumption of the
same during the

the Inam enquiry.
But the Inam Supdt
has in his yadi No
35 d/18th April 90, re-
plied the Dy Commr
that the Inam lands
of the three temples
were resumed on the
ground that the tem-
ples were in disrepair and
puja was discontinued
7 As a doubt exist
in the matter, a fur-
ther report seems
necessary on the abo
points

Draft submitted
for approval.
C.V

C Vā Rāmaiah
Q1
19/6/90

(General Serial No. 31.)

1287
19 MAY
DEWAN OF MYSORE

1890

From

The Superintendent
of Cheundeatach

To The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 16th
Despatched the
Received May/90

Enclosures No.

General No. 96
No.

Under the circumstances
represented requesting
that the Jodi Inam
Lands of Bettadapura
Agrahara, Cinjanerpa
Agrahara & Lutchma
Samudra of Kottur
Pattu Null a/c
in the Channarayapatna
Taluk, may be
restored to them

Deputy Commr's Office
Hassan District
Dated Hassan 16th May 1890.

From The Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District.

To The Chief Secretary to the Government
of Mysore.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit the entire correspondence connected with the Jodi Inam lands of Basavana Davapoo, Anjaniah Davaroo and Lutchmi Davaroo of Kottanguttu village in the Heeroysatna Taluk and to report that the temples in question were in the enjoyment of Jodi lands as per margin before the Inam Settlement. In the Inam Settlement in Vikrama they were recommended to Government as the temples were in a dilapidated condition, and the Puja was discontinued but they were registered in the name of

		assessment	Wet	dumy
Basavanna Davarus				
Inam				
No 171. Kaudi: Kol Patti		1-2-7	No 33	0.24
" — 1 — "				
" — 1 — "				2-8-"
Anjaniah Davarus.				
No 62 " — 1 — "		1-2-7	Dry	6.23
63 " — 1 — 8		1-7-4	17.	
Lutchimma Davarus				
No 21 " — 1 — "		" — 14 — "	Dry No 3	1.27

2 — " — Sir, 7-8-" 2-8-"

of the temples on full assessment.

In 1882 the lands were put up to auction for Revenue arrears, but the sale was cancelled on the complaints of the villagers, the arrear being recovered from Lukka Sowda and others as representatives of the villagers and Vahivatdars of the temples in question.

2. The villagers having repaired the temples and made arrangements for the continuation of the puja, pray that the Inam should be restored.

3. The Mamlatdar reports that the temples have been substantially repaired and puja continued. The Purnaiya inspected the temples during the last jamabundy and found them in thoroughly good order.

4. Under these circumstances I beg to recommend that the

Sode

Jodi Linam may be restored

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

H. Murray Scattle Nov 2

Deputy Commissioner

Hassam District

(General Serial No. 30.)

1890.

From ✓

To The Superintendent of Inam Settlements

Dated 3

Despatched 5 June 190

Received

Entered the Journal: 12 Dy 14/6/90

Enclosures No. ✓

General No. 1812
No. 24

Has the Honor to request that an extract of the Inam Register shewing the grounds of resumption and other particulars of the Inam Lands attached to the temples of Byas-avanna Devaru Anjaneya Devaru and Lutchmi Devaru of Kottangutta village in the Chennarayapatna Taluk may be forwarded to this office. By order C.V.

Under Secy

OFFICE OF THE
2364
19 JUN 90
DEWT
43

From

The Supt of Inam Settlt

To the Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of
Mysore

Dated 18th
Recd 19th } June

Enclosure No (one)

No 12 of 1890-91.

Hd Docket No 1812/24
Dated the 3rd Instant

As requested in the above, has the honor to forward an extract from the Inam Register of Kottanagotta Village of the Channarayapatna Taluk, containing the cases under reference.

Supt of Inam Settlt

Reference as
19/6/90

Verbal to Almt a memo
as
22/6/90

1890

To

The Dy Commissioner

Hassan District.

Dated 22/26 Augt 1890

No 5841/168 Rev 1890

His No 96 dated 16th May 1890 soliciting sanction to the restoration of the Jodi Inam lands attached to the temples of Bhaskaranna Devaru, Anjaneya Devaru and Lakshmi Devaru of Kottangutta village in the Chennarayapatna Taluk.

—— " ——

Sir,

With reference to the above I have the honor to forward herewith for information and obliging return an extract from the Inam Register of

of Kottaingutta village shewing the particulars of the Inam lands in question, received in this office from the Inam Supt. with his No. 12 dated 18th June/90.

2. On reference to the Columns 14, 19 and 20 of the esctract of the Inam Register it will be observed that the old Nos. 21 63 and part of No. 171 had been granted as Inam to the temples of Bhagiradevarm and Lakshmi Devarm as per Huzur Stala accounts of 1846, that as the Inams were being enjoyed by the Devarms other than those mentioned in the accounts, the Inam Supt. recommended that

21

that the Inams should be resumed & fully assessed that thereupon, the Amildar was called upon to submit a report as to whether it was the same Lakshmi Devaru and that as the report called for was not received, the Inam Supt. ordered that the Inams should be resumed. These Inams do not seem to have been resumed on the ground that the temples were in disrepair &c.

3. The Dy Commr is requested to report after due enquiry whether the old Nos 21, 63 and part of 171 were granted originally to the Shyre-devaru and Lakshmi Devaru temples or to those of Anjaneya and Lakshmi Devaru now under reference and to forward to this office, the

Taree - also records
on the subject.

4. The particulars of
the Inam lands given
by Seetharamiah, the
Shanbogue of the village,
in his deposition dated
21st Feby/90 differs
in some respects from
those given in the
extract of the Inam Register.
A further report is also
necessary on this point.
The old records shewing
that the Inam lands of the
3 temples now in question
were formerly under
one Thate only should
also be forwarded to
this office.

5. The enclosures are
returned for reference
and resubmission.

By Order

Chief Secretary.

(General Serial No. 31)

189

From The Commissioner
Kaparvadant

To The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated the
Despatched 11 th May 1891
Received

Enclosures No. 2 & 1/20

General No. 64
No. 5

Submits further
report regarding the
resumption of the
Jodi Inam lands
attached to certain
temples in the Kaivara
patta village in
the Channapatna
Taluk -

Reference
to
14/5/91

C No. 1 to C
No. 1
13/5/91

247

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Hassan District

No. 64/S

Dated 6th May 1891

From

Enc 2 papers

The Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

To

The Chief Secretary
to the Govt of Mysore

Sir,

With reference to your docket No. 5841/168 of the 26th August 1890, calling for further report regarding the restoration of the Jodi Inam lands attached to certain temples in the Kattanga village in the Channarayapatna Taluk, I have the honor to resubmit the enclosures received with the above, together with a Kannada Jodi and its accompaniments received from the Police Assistant Commissioner who was the Jamabandy Officer of the Taluk.

2. It would be observed from the Jodi and the document marked

A that the "Kalwar Chetties for the years 1807-1808 and 1834-35 Exhibit that 4 Servants (viz) Karaweswara, Hanoomantharaya, Narasimmaswamy and Lutchmi Devi were in the employment of the Lessees in question, while the Chitta for 1835-36 shew Birra Devara in place of Hanoomantha Devara amongst others - The — omission of the one and the substitution of the other are not accounted for. Similarly in the year 1845-46 Anjaneya Devara and Narasimmaswamy are omitted, without any — reason being assigned for the Lessee - But the value and Extent of the Inams are the — Same all along - Excepting in the year 1807-1808, when there is a difference of one "Hanna", the Cause of which is not likewise traceable.

In the local enquiries, I find that

2. Arjuneya
3. Narasimha swamy
4. Lakshmi devaru.

that there are only 4 temples in the village as per memorandum and that there is no trace of Bira-devaru. I presume that the documents in question must have been original & intended for the 4 Devarus, the temples of which are still in existence. The substitution of the name Byradevaru and the omission of Anjaneya and Narasimhaswamy are due either to an accident or ignorance or negligence on the part of the village servants and Taluk authorities; but the translation being of a very remote date, further action in the matter seems undesirable.

4. As regards the discrepancies in the Chanbogue Seetharamaiya's statements, I beg to state that they are partly due to the fact that his statements are apparently based on the present Pahani and Khatas as well as on the information

information is obtained from the reports and part of this ignorance of facts, the having a new incumbent entertained in the year Dhata. The Jamjar Chitta marked C in the file shows that all the Inams under reference were being included in one and the same Khata. It is also manifest that even these Jamjar Chittas were not prepared with due care and cautions the heading shows one name and the details mentioned & which several. These irregularities are simply due to the careless ness of the Examining staff in the Talak office. Any action in this perfect at this distance of time will be of no use.

5. In conclusion I beg to add that since the Inam Settlement itself is pro tem defective in tracing out the true state of things before it's final Settlement and the old proceedings

found due to Lessee to the above mentioned A Devaines the Existing value and Extent of Lessees may be distributed separately, as per Claim for 1834–35 and restored to them as originally recommended The Byepa devaines Summer, which appears to be a false entry will be omitted in the future accounts.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most Obt Servt

W. Gospondenyatty
for Deputy Commissioner

alika

for Rs 2 stamp paper from the Sugari and the ryots of the village binding themselves to keep the 4 temples always in good order and to have the services in them performed regularly in future

4. The enclosures are returned for reference and resubmission.

By order

C.S.

Under Secy.

C.V.

(General Serial No. 30).

29

189/.

From

To The Dy Commr Hassan Dist

Dated 3rd ~~September~~

Despatched 4th Novr.

Received

Enclosures No. 1 to 10

General No. 8876

M No. 261

His No. 64/8 dated 9 May/91 submitting further report regarding the restoration of the Godi Inam lands attached to certain temples in the Hottana gutta village of the Channarayapatna Taluk.

With reference to the above has the honor to observe that the 10 papers now received shew that there is no temple of

of Bhagavathi Devi in the village of Kottanagutta and that the Inam land specified in the margin had been attached to the temples of Basavanna Devaru, Anjaneya, Lakshmi Devi and Narayana Swami in the same village.

2. The Dy Commr is requested to report on the following points

(1) Whether the temple of Narayanaswami is now in good order and whether the services therein are regularly performed.

(2) Whether the 4 temples have only one Pujari or different persons.

3. The Dy Commr is also requested to obtain and forward to this office

As last old accounts

Old No	Pujaris	Aslesharam	Guntas	Survey No	Extent	Assessment
	Tl. C-Go.	Rs-A-P.	Rs-A-P.		A-G	Rs-A-P.
Dry 21	" 1 "	" 14 "		3	4-4	0-12-0.
Do 62	" 1 "	" 1 "	1-2-7		1-27	2-" "
Do 63	" 1-8	" 2-4		17	6-23	7-8-"
Wet-171	" 1 "	" 1-2-7		33	" 24	2-8-"
Total 4	" 4-8	4-10-6		3	8-34	10-" "

Godi in all the above lands
Rs - A-P.
2 - 5 - 3.

As last Survey

31.

(General Serial No. 30).



189 / BRANCH / W 346

From

The Commissioner
Hasandt

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Revenue Branch

Dated 9 }
Despatched 11 } March 1892.
Received

Enclosures No. one file
of V.P.

General No. 840
No. 2855

Submitting the further information called for regarding the restoration of Jodi Inam lands attached to certain Temples in Kattagatta village, Channarayapatna Taluk &c.

Head Clerk
Ag
Mc 13/92

No 839 Sec. 1 Sw. V. P. file

Deputy Commissioner's office
Hassan district.
Dated 9th March 1892.

From.

The Deputy Commissioner
Hassan district. —

To.

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Mysore Branch. —

Sir,

With reference to Chief Secretary's Docket number 8316/2m 261 dated 4th November 1891 asking for certain information regarding certain temples in the Village of Kottanapatta, Cheeroyspatna Taluk, I have the honor to report that the temple of Narayanaswamy is in good order and the services are regularly performed and there are two Pujaries for the temples in question. The Umbalika obtained from

from the Pujaries and the ryots of the Villages ~~——~~. ——binding themselves to keep the temples in order and to have the services in them performed regularly in future, is herewith submitted with vernacular papers ending with the Cheroypatna Amildar's Report 1230 dated 23rd ~~instant~~ instant.

I have the honor to be,

Sirs.

Your most obedient Servant.

Asst Commissioner

Hassan District

MUZARAYI. 35

(General Serial No. 30.)

1892.

From

To The Dy Commissioner
Hassan District

Dated 20th August 92

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 141 file VP

General No. 552 R
No. 23

From the Tahsildar 28-9-92 No 3841

His letter No. 840 dated 9th March 1892, submitting further information in regard to the proposed restoration of Jodi Inam Lands attached to certain Temples in Kattanagutta village, Channarayapatna Taluk together with a muchalika from the Temple Pujaris and village ryots.

With reference to the above has the honor to state that there is no mention

Devaroo Temple in his original letter No 96 dated 16th May 1890, and to request that a statement in the accompanying form may be submitted showing in detail the Inam lands attached to each of the 4 Temples of Anjaneya Devaroo, Narayanaswami Devaroo, Basavannah Devaroo and Lakshmi Devaroo.

2. The Vernacular records are herewith returned for reference and resubmission.

3. An early reply is requested.

B.O.

D.f.l.

Secretary.



Name of Temple.	Land or Wet or Dry	As per old accounts			As per Survey accounts			Jodi	Name of Pujari in charge	Remarks as to the State of the temple building whether in good repair or otherwise & whether Puja to be performed regularly.
		No.	Bijavasi K C P	Assessment R a p	Survey No.	Extent H. G.	Assessment R a p			

38

(General Serial No 30.)

350

From The Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District.

To The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore

Dated 8th
Despatched 9th } September 1892
Received

Enclosures No one and Vernacular papers

General No. 384
No

In reply to 552/23 Dated 20th August 1892

SUBJECT

Submitting a statement in the prescribed form showing Inam lands attached to certain Temples in the Kattigatta village, Channarayapatna Taluk &c.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

No. 384
Jud. V.P. file

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Hassan District.

Dated Hassan 8th September 1892.

From,

B. N. Purnaiya Esqre
Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Muzarai Department
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to your Docket No. $\frac{552}{23}$ of the 20th ultimo in regard to the proposed restoration of Jodi Inam lands attached to certain Temples in Kabbigalla village, Channarayapatna Taluk, I have the honor to submit herewith a statement in the prescribed form showing the Inam lands attached to the Temples therein referred to.

2 — The Vernacular record

Enclosure

Ending with Chemanozapatia
Amildar's report No. 268 Dated
1st Instant are herewith sub.
-mitted.-

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

J. Duncan

Dy Commissioner
Kalpan District

Name of Temple	As per old accounts.				As per Survey Accounts				Jodi.	Name of Pujari in charge	Remarks as to the state of the temple building — whether in good repair or otherwise and whether Puja is performed regularly. —
	No. Bijavari Assessment.				Extent Assessment						
	K	c	P	Rs	A	G	R	A	P	R	A	P			
arayana.	Dry	21	-	1	-	14	-	3	1	27	2	-	-	Nagaragatta Yadava - Giriya -	(1) The Temple building is stated to be in good repair and Puja performed regularly. —
anaiya	Do	62	-	1	-	1	2	7	17	6	23	7	8	-	2 : 3	(2) The amount shown under the head Jodi is stated to be former Jodi.
	Do	68	-	18		1	7	4								
devi	Wet.	171	-	1		1	2	7	33	-	24	2	8	-		Aruvana - halli Tumdi Kafanaiya (3) As there is no one khata in the names of these Temples, the particulars of Inam lands to each Temple cannot be given. —

Dy Commrs Office Hassan
Dated Hassan 7th September 1892

Dy Commissioner
Hassan District

MUZARAYI.

(General Serial No. 30.)

1892.

From

To D.C Hassan

Supt to Inam

" Rev Survey

Dated 20th

Despatched 22nd October 1892

Received

Enclosures No. 1 file

General No. 701-3

No. 32

Sanctioning the resumption of the Inam lands attached to the temples of Basavamma Devaru Anjaneya Devaru, Narasimha Swamy Devaru and Lakshmi Devi in the Kottanagutta Village, Chennarayapatna Taluk

Muzrai

43 Read:— Correspondence ending with Letter No. 384 dt/ 8th Sept. 1892, from the Deputy Commr. Hassan District soliciting Sanction to the restoration of the Inam lands attached to the temples of Basavanna devaroo, Anjaneya Devaru, Narainaswamy Devaru and Lakshmi Devi in the Kattanagitta village, Chennarayapatna Taluk.

No. 701-3 & 20 10 92 order thereon — No. 32 & 22

Certfe —

The Extract of the Inam Register received the Inam Superintendent shews that the marginally noted Inam lands att[d] to the temple of Basavanna Devaroo in the Kottanag village were resumed during the Inam settlement in 1880 on the ground that the temple was in disrepair and

	Extent.	Berij.
R. C. P.	A. C. P.	R. A. P.
1	1 — 2 — 7	
2	" — 9 — 4	
	1 — 11 — 11	

No. 62 & Part of 171

was

-continued and that the Inam lands said to be attached to the temples of Bhaira Devaroo and Lakshmi Devi were also rescued in the same year as they were being enjoyed by Devaroos other than those mentioned in the accounts viz, Anjaneya Devaroo and Lakshmi Devi.

X.	No	Extent	Ber
	21,63 ✓	K. C. P ✓	R. A.
	& part of 71 ✓	" - 2 - " ✓	2 - 5
		" - " - 8	" - 9 -
		" - 2 - 8	2 - 14

2. The Deputy Commr now reports that there are four temples in the village viz, Basavanna Devaroo Anjaneya Devaroo, Narasimha Swamy Devaroo, and Lakshmi Devi that the Inam lands now in question were orginally granted to these four temples that the name of Bhaira Devaroo subsequently inserted in the accounts was a false entry owing to the carelessness of the Taluk Authorities and that proper enquiry was not made by

by the Inam Department at the time of the settlement and that hence the settlement itself was defective.

3. The Records show that the correct details of the Inamlands and the temples are as shewn in the margin that all the lands are under one khalé that all the four temples referred to, have been completely repaired, and that Pooja is restored therein that the Deputy Commr Mr Pearnia who inspected the temples found them in a thoroughly good order and that the Pujari and the villagers have exec- a Muchalika on a due stamp paper binding themselves to keep the

Name of Temple		Asperold accounts	As per Survey
Sri anna Devaru	6	1	1
	2	1	3
	1	1	1

Godi
ent

in g

5. have the services therein performed regularly.

4. Under the above circumstances, the restoration of the Inam lands particularized in the margin of para 3, is sanctioned to the temples of Basavanna Devaru, Anjaneya Devaru, Naraisaswamy Devaru, and Lakshmi Devi, in the Kattanagutta village

5. The action of the village servants and the Taluk authorities in not making a correct entry of the Inam lands under reference is very unsatisfactory and they should be warned to be more careful in future.

6. Copies of the marginally noted correspondence will be forwarded to the Inam Supt for information.

No. 5841/168 dy 22-8-90 from Chief Secretary to Dy: Comr Hassan

" 64/5 dy 9 may 1891 from Dy Comr Hassan to Chief Secretary

A1

By Secretary

To

The Dy: Comr Hassan &c, returning the Enclosures

Superintendent of Inam settlements in Mysore

Survey Settlement &c